Eurostars guidelines for remote experts

This document provides experts with instructions on how to assess a Eurostars application.
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Your role as a remote expert

We expect you to perform an excellent job.

The functioning of Eurostars is entirely dependent on the evaluations performed by our remote experts. That is why we expect our remote experts to perform an excellent job.

The Eurostars evaluation process involves a two-step evaluation. In the first step, each application is evaluated by three remote experts who work individually. Applications which receive a score above a set quality threshold progress to the second step of the evaluation process, while those which do not are rejected. In the second step, applications are assessed by the Independent Evaluation Panel, that ranks them in order of quality and recommends the best proposals for funding.

An expert uses their technical and market expertise within their specialist field to provide objective assessments consisting of scores and justifications. Eurostars pays particular attention to the application of technology to produce marketable products, processes, and services. The marketing strategy of projects is considered as important as the degree of innovation and the technical merits. It is therefore vital that you, the expert, have an excellent understanding of dissemination strategies, market areas and routes to those markets.

Your assessment must be in line with Eurostars principles.

Eurostars principles are reflected in the eligibility criteria. Knowing the eligibility criteria is a precondition for commencing your work.

Remember that Eurostars' mission is to boost collaboration on international R&D&I projects among different types of organisations from different countries in the world. Eurostars is not exclusive to Europe. Applicants from 37 countries are permitted to lead projects. Once a second organisation from one of the 37 countries is also included, organisations from anywhere in the world are welcome to join. As a remote expert, you must be aware of this principle and make sure your assessment is in line with it.

Your assessments must be objective, coherent and useful.

Each score you give must be clearly justified in the corresponding comment. You need to be able to identify the strengths and weaknesses of a project and explain why you consider an element as a strength or a weakness.

Your justifications will be used to provide feedback to the applicants. Do not be afraid to be honest and express constructive criticism, but you must not be dismissive or rude.

We expect you to follow our code of practice.

- You will evaluate applications independently.
- You will evaluate applications objectively and without prejudice.
- Your assessment will be in line with Eurostars principles.
- You will give sufficient time and effort to the process.
You will provide accurate scores using the entirety of the scale available. You will be required to evaluate the proposals against three main criteria and their sub-criteria. You will have to rate each sub-criterion by using a scale from 1 to 6, where 1 is the lowest score and 6 is the highest score.

You will clearly justify each score that you provide. You will provide statements which are specific to the application at hand. Not vague, generic or formulaic answers taken from this document, other Eurostars guidelines, or readily available information sources (e.g., Wikipedia). Statements and scores will not be contradictory to one another.

You will uphold the confidential nature of the application.

If you fall short of our expectations, you will be asked to repeat the work. If you continue to produce unsatisfactory work, we reserve the right to suspend any payment or financial compensation.

You must immediately inform us about any (potential) conflict of interest.

A conflict of interest undermines everything that we are trying to achieve. We need you to openly and honestly inform us if there is any reason that you cannot or might not be able to perform an objective evaluation.

Experts who inform us of such an issue will be unable to evaluate applications during that period but will be welcome to participate again in the future.

Occasionally, it is not clear that a conflict exists until after the initial invitation. Do not worry – if you inform us as soon as you are aware of the fact, we can take steps to correct this.

However, we have a zero-tolerance approach to individuals who fail to declare a conflict which we later discover:

- You will be excluded from working for Eurostars or EUREKA in the future.
- We will seek reimbursement of all fees paid to you for your work.
- We will inform the Ministries in the affected countries.
- We will inform the European Commission, and those responsible for managing their expert evaluation processes.

If you discover that your assigned application raises a conflict of interest you are under the obligation to declare this to the EUREKA Secretariat immediately.

If you have any doubts, email us immediately at experts@eurostars-eureka.eu with subject "question regarding conflict of interest."

Types of conflict of interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISQUALIFYING: THE EXPERT</th>
<th>POTENTIALLY DISQUALIFYING: THE EXPERT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; was involved in the preparation of an application;</td>
<td>&gt; was employed by one of the applicant legal entities in an application within the previous two years;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Your role is not to...

...assess the financial capacity of the project partners to support their project costs. This task can only be performed by the Eurostars National Funding Bodies (NFBs) as part of the Legal and Financial Viability check. The NFBs base their assessment of the financial capacity of the participating organisations on data which are only available to the competent national authorities and based on rules and regulations established at national level.

As a remote expert, it is not your task to assess the capacity of the participating organisations to support the costs of their project activities – it is to reflect on the appropriateness of the project’s budget relative to its activities and ambition (remember that the costs of goods and services may be very different from what might be considered normal in your own country).

How to evaluate an application

To perform an evaluation, you must login to the Eureka Project Management Platform (EPMP). It is through the EPMP that you will complete and submit your evaluation.

Once logged into the EPMP, you will have access to all sections of the application form:

- Project details,
- Application questions,
- The details of the applying organisations,
• Declarations and
• Work packages.

If submitted by the applicants as part of the application form, you will also see the following annexes:

- Financial annex of the participating organisations.
- Technical annex.
- Gantt chart.

We ask you to:

1. Understand your role as a remote expert.
2. Carefully read the application and its annexes.
3. Rate each sub-criterion by using a scale from 1 to 6 points:
   - 1 being the lowest and
   - 6 being the highest.
4. Provide a justification for each score.
5. Double-check the content of your assessment.
6. Submit your assessment before the deadline.

Once you have submitted your evaluation, you will be able to see it in your EPMP Dashboard.

If improvements are required, you will receive an email requesting you to amend your evaluation.

If the quality issues continue to be unaddressed, we reserve the right to refuse payment and, in serious cases, to exclude you from our expert database.

Evaluation criteria and score

Applications are evaluated against three criteria and their sub-criteria.

You are required to assess each criterion by assigning a score to each of its sub-criteria. You will use a scale from 1 to 6 points, where 1 is the lowest score and 6 is the highest score. Each score must be fully justified.

Below are the three criteria and their sub-criteria. To evaluate them, please follow the instructions provided.

CRITERION 1: QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION

This section focusses principally on PROJECT PLANNING AND CONSORTIUM QUALITY.

Please note: As a remote expert you cannot assess or comment on the participating organisations’ capacity to finance the activities foreseen within the project. Only the Eurostars National Funding Bodies are responsible for verifying the financial capacity of the partners to support their project costs.

Eurostars is not exclusive to Europe. Applicants from 37 countries are permitted to lead projects. Once a second organisation from one of the 37 countries is also included, organisations from anywhere in the world are welcome to join. Your assessment must be in line with this principle.
1. Quality of the consortium

Please comment on the following elements:

- The management experience of the partners.
- The core business activities of the partners.
- As a consortium, do the partners possess necessary and complementary key qualifications to meet the objectives and results?
- As individuals, does each partner have the necessary technological experience to carry out their tasks?
- Do all the partners have commercial and/or scientific interests in achieving the results?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:

- Application questions.
- Your organisation.

2. Added value through cooperation.

Please comment on the following elements:

- The benefits brought through cooperation – does this need to be done/benefit from being done cooperatively/internationally?
- Does the project demonstrate clear sharing of risks, of costs, of know-how, of benefits?
- Is there a clear synergy in the partnership, e.g., the collaboration results in outputs which are not independently obtainable, and which are greater than what could be achieved by any partner on its own?
- Does the cooperation support and expand capabilities and knowledge of each partner beyond project results, e.g., admittance to a new market, new technology and new skills?
- Do all SME partners stand to gain commercially from the exploitation of the project results?
- Does one partner stand to benefit disproportionally from exploitation of the project results (when compared to their input)?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:

- Application questions (including, and in particular, information relating to IPR).
- Your organisation.

3. Realistic and clearly defined project management & planning.

Please comment on the following elements:

- Methodology and planning approach.
- Milestones (key decision points) and outcomes.
- Task identification.
- Does the project plan include a realistic time schedule in relation to tasks and objectives?
- Are key issues to be addressed and project objective(s) and outputs fully identified and precisely formulated from the outset?
- Are the project’s goals clearly identified and logically set out through well described work packages?
- Are the work packages broken-down into logical, well-defined tasks which are relevant to the expected results?
• Are the milestones and deliverables clearly identified? Do they allow verification of progress during project implementation, including go/no-go decisions?
• Is the project management structure well described? Is there an appropriate and capable structure for implementing the project (e.g., taking decisions, tracking and ensuring progress, reporting, etc.)?
• Does the main partner (as project manager) have relevant project management experience, including experience of multi-partner projects?
• Do all partners have a well-defined role in the project and are the assigned project tasks in line with that partner’s core business?
• Are the roles and responsibilities of each partner within each work package clearly described and differentiated in the work plan? Is task allocation by any partners to subcontractors clearly identified?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:
- Application questions.
- Your organisation.
- Work packages.

4. Reasonable cost structure.

Please comment on the following elements:

• Are the project costs clearly justified?
• Are the costs reasonable (e.g., neither underestimated nor overestimated) for the proposed work and for each of the partners (consider, also, differences in living costs and wages between countries)?
• Is the cost breakdown well-structured and corresponds to the tasks and activities to be implemented by each partner?
• Are subcontracting costs appropriately justified?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:
- Your organisation.
- Work packages.

CRITERION 2: IMPACT.

This section focusses principally on the MARKET AND COMMERCIALISATION

1. Market size

Please comment on the following elements:

• Have the applicants quantified the market size, growth prospects and expected market share?
• Are these descriptions realistic?
• Is the potential market share well considered and justified?
• Is there a profitable market for the product?
• Does this represent a strong foundation for sustainable competitiveness?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:
2. Market access and risk.

Please comment on the following elements:

- Are the partners qualified to break into the market or do they already have an established position?
- Has the proposal identified barriers to the market and/or included important customers, or in other ways reduced the time and costs to market:
  - Regulatory,
  - standards and certification,
  - commercial,
  - competition,
  - quality,
  - pricing,
  - market acceptance.

Sections of particular interest within the application form:

- Application questions.
- Your organisation.

3. Competitive advantage.

Please comment on the following elements:

- Will the product be unique with very few competing products?
- Will the product have a significant price or quality advantage over competing products or have significant benefits to the customer?
- Have they carefully analysed existing IP and assessed whether it might affect their marketing approach?
- Will the partners be able to generate strong IP to prevent copying of the end results? Will they need to?
- Will the know-how developed within the project be such that they would have a very strong and clear time to market advantage over competition?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:

- Application questions.
- Your organisation.

4. Clear and realistic commercialisation plans.

Please comment on the following elements:

- Has the consortium clearly outlined the plans for commercialisation of the product and are they realistic?
- Has the split or sharing of project outputs been defined with a view to commercialisation?
- Do the commercialisation plans include realistic and credible projections for:
  - revenue,
  - investment required,
  - anticipated costs associated with the product launch on the market.
Sections of particular interest within the application form:
- Application questions.
- Your organisation.


Please comment on the following elements:

- Has the consortium identified the project’s positive contribution to the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)? Is the contribution well described and realistic?
- Are the short-term and long-term impacts and their scale realistically identified?
- Has the consortium identified any potential negative social and/or environmental effects that their project or project results may have? Has the consortium identified the potential negative impacts and planned mitigation measures? Are mitigation plans well described and effective?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:
- Application questions.

CRITERION 3: EXCELLENCE

This section focusses principally on the INNOVATION AND R&D.

1. Degree of innovation

Please comment on the following elements:

- Is the product technologically new or a significant improvement on existing solutions?
- Does it deliver objectively new products, processes or services to the consumer with an added value?
- Is the product an advance over the current commercial state-of-the-art?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:
- Application questions.

2. New applied knowledge.

Please comment on the following elements:

- Will the project lead to the creation of new knowledge which is not yet known in the area?
- Will the project resolve an issue of technical uncertainty, resulting in new knowledge?
- Will the new knowledge bring the partners to the forefront of the area in question and thus well beyond the present state-of-the-art?
- Could the technology or knowledge being developed be the potential basis for a wide number of applications?
- Does the application for the technology/knowledge have the potential to be expanded into other areas/sectors beyond the scope of the application being developed in this project?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:
3. Level of technical challenge.

Please comment on the following elements:

- Does the project involve a high degree of technical challenge?
- Does achieving the project results require the application of a significant level of specialist’s know-how and knowledge?
- Is the level of technical challenge such that the project results could not be easily replicated by others?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:

- Application questions (including, and in particular, information relating to IPR).


Please comment on the following elements:

- Is the approach technically sound or is it fundamentally flawed?
- Is an appropriate technology being employed for the envisaged development?
- Are the proposed technical developments achievable within the defined budget and timescale?
- Is the research method described appropriate for achieving the technical developments (e.g., it includes a programme of design, test, analysis, decision and iteration if appropriate)?
- Does the research method include, in its different stages, a proper sex, gender and intersectional analysis?
- If sex, gender and intersectional analysis is not included in the research method, has the applicant properly justified why such analysis is not relevant to the project?
- Is there an appropriate analysis of the risks?
- Are the associated technical risks well described and approach to minimising the effects of the risks has been outlined?
- Does the project incorporate go/no-go decision points for appropriate outcomes and at regular intervals?

Sections of particular interest within the application form:

- Application questions.
- Work packages.

Expert selection and deadlines

Registration

If you would like to work as a remote expert for Eurostars you need to register to the Eureka Expert Database (EED) and complete your profile. Eureka will only approve experts who fulfil the required criteria. Find more information here.
Selection

If you are registered as a remote expert, you will be contacted shortly before the submission deadline to see if you are available to evaluate applications. If you respond positively, we add you to the list of “eligible” experts.

After the submission deadline we begin to match the eligible applications to potential experts. This is performed by project officers with a technical, scientific or engineering background, using database search engines. The project officers will read the application in question, and by using keywords and phrases, will search the data that has been entered by the experts in expert database to identify the three most suitable experts from the eligible list. For insurance, the project officers will usually identify one or two reserve experts too.

It is possible that one expert is matched for several applications. If we think that the workload would present a potential problem, we will reallocate some to the reserve expert instead. It is also possible that an eligible expert receives no evaluations. This can be due to several reasons:

- You confirm your availability before the applications are even received – we may not receive any within your specialist areas.
- You may be selected as a reserve but never used.
- You may have an excellent profile, but the database may contain more suitable individuals.

Entering as much information into your profile as possible and keeping it up to date will maximise your chances of being selected.

Eurostars is a fast process. We have an evaluation window measured in weeks, not months. As soon as we are able, we will begin to assign the experts who have been chosen to perform an evaluation. Depending on the number of applications we receive, this may take several days to complete.

For each project you will receive an email confirming the work and inviting you to access the project documentation through the EPMP and accept or reject the application/s assigned to you.

Please note: Experts must accept or reject the assigned application/s within a short time from receiving the email. The deadline to accept or reject the assignment(s) is communicated in the invitation email.

Delivery of the assessment

If you accept your assignment(s), you will be asked to perform your evaluation within a set deadline. The deadline is communicated in your invitation email.

Evaluations must be performed in accordance with the expectations of quality outlined previously. Evaluations must be performed in English.

- For a single application, you will be expected to deliver the assessment within 6 days.
- For up to three applications, you will be expected to deliver them all within 10 days.
- For more than three applications, you will be expected to deliver them all within 15 days.

There are many experts in many different countries - we cannot take into account your personal holidays or national holiday within the countries.
However, we understand that things can happen, and that circumstances can change. If you are called away for a few days, or are no longer available, please let us know as soon as possible so that we can discuss the situation and plan alternative arrangements that suit everyone.

If we have seen that you have not logged into the EPMP within a certain number of days, we will attempt to contact you to make sure that everything is fine.

If we cannot reach you and we cannot see that you are working, we will have to withdraw the invitation to evaluate and seek an alternative expert.

Evaluations can only be submitted once. If you wish to amend the evaluation, please contact us soon as possible.

**Confidentiality**

**The EUREKA Secretariat**

We aspire to be as transparent and open as possible. Eurostars is paid for by the taxpayers of the participating countries, and we are accountable to them.

At the same time, we know that confidentiality can give experts the confidence to provide critical assessment without fear of retaliatory remarks. The anonymity given to experts will be upheld by Eurostars as long as experts adhere to our code of conduct, and do not abuse it.

As a rule, only the employees of the Eureka Secretariat and the National Funding Bodies who run the Eurostars programme have the ability to match specific evaluations to the experts who wrote them. We do not comment on the status of individual experts. If you contact us and request a reference, we will only confirm whether or not you have performed any evaluations. We will be unable to provide an endorsement of your abilities.

We will not publicly acknowledge your role in the evaluation of a particular project.

Eurostars, like most publicly financed initiatives, is subject to performance reviews. Undertakings of this nature require the disclosure of certain information to a number of organisations or individuals. Within this context, it may be possible to identify the specific work of specific individuals.

Such information may be available to:

- **Organisations:**
  - the European Commission,
  - auditors of the above organisations,
  - organisations charged with analysing the effectiveness of Eurostars as a funding instrument.

All employees of these organisations are subject to confidentiality clauses within their employment contracts.

- **Individuals:**
  - experts charged with analysing the effectiveness of Eurostars as a funding instrument.
Experts are required to sign confidentiality agreements and to declare any potential conflict of interest. They are required to treat information contained within applications in the strictest confidence.

Occasionally, we are asked to provide data for the purposes of academic studies and scientific literature. Data is provided in an aggregate and anonymous manner, and the users are subject to the same confidentiality agreements as you, the experts evaluating the applications.

**The expert**

The expert is responsible for ensuring and maintaining confidentiality of any data, documents or other material related to the evaluation process, during and after completion of the evaluation. In the cases of a breach of the confidentiality, the EUREKA Secretariat reserves the right to suspend any payment or compensation and in serious cases to undertake legal action.

**Information Security**

**Electronic submission of application documents**

The electronic submission of evaluations uses https, which encrypts and decrypts the requests and information between the expert’s browser and the server to which evaluations are submitted, using a Secure Socket Layer (SSL). SSL allows an SSL-enabled server to authenticate itself to an SSL-enabled client and vice versa, enabling the machine to establish an encrypted connection.

**Data Protection Act**

The EUREKA Secretariat is situated in the Kingdom of Belgium and as such is governed by Belgian data protection law. More information can be found (in English, Dutch and French) at http://www.privacycommission.be.

The information that evaluators provide will be used in the processing of all aspects of the relevant evaluation. This will include recording on the in-house and the Eurostars-contracted Programme Manager’s processing computer and management information systems and in the preparation of material for applicants and for use by the assessment panels. In addition, information may be used in the generation and collation of output and performance indicators and other management statistics. It may also be used in policy and strategy studies to inform management in carrying out the business of the EUREKA Secretariat and in improving business processes.

Any queries on issues relating to data protection should be addressed to:

Eureka Association, Avenue de Tervuren 2, 1040 Brussels, Belgium.